

**Selected references** 

# MACS® ART Annexin V System

## **Clinical Data**

#### 2018

Zhang, H. *et al.* (2018) Selection of viable human spermatozoa with low levels of DNA fragmentation from an immotile population using density gradient centrifugation and magnetic-activated cell sorting. Andrologia 50(1).

Ziarati, N. *et al* (2018) Clinical outcomes of magnetic activated sperm sorting in infertile men candidate for ICSI. Hum. Fertil. 24: 1–8.

#### 2017

Esbert, A. *et al.* (2017) Spermatozoa with numerical chromosomal abnormalities are more prone to be retained by Annexin V-MACS columns. Andrology 5(4): 807–813.

Berteli, T.S. *et al.* (2017) Magnetic-activated cell sorting before density gradient centrifugation improves recovery of high-quality spermatozoa. Andrology 5(4): 776–782.

Sánchez-Martín, P. *et al.* (2017) Magnetic cell sorting of semen containing spermatozoa with high DNA fragmentation in ICSI cycles decreases miscarriage rate. Reprod Biomed Online 34(5): 506–512.

Romany, L. *et al.* (2017) Obstetric and perinatal outcome of babies born from sperm selected by MACS from a randomized controlled trial. J Assist Reprod Genet. 34(2): 201–207.

## 2016-2010

Chi, HJ. *et al.* (2016) Efficient isolation of sperm with high DNA integrity and stable chromatin packaging by a combination of density-gradient centrifugation and magnetic-activated cell sorting. Clin Exp Reprod Med. 43(4): 199–206.

Degheidy, T. *et al.* (2015) Magnetic activated cell sorting: an effective method for reduction of sperm DNA fragmentation in varicocele men prior to assisted reproductive techniques. Andrologia 47(8): 892–6.

Troya, J. et al. (2015) Annexin V-MACS in infertile couples as method for separation of sperm without DNA fragmentation. JBRA Assisted Reproduction 19(2): 66–69.

Vendrell, X. et al. (2014) Correlation between aneuploidy, apoptotic markers and DNA fragmentation in spermatozoa from normozoospermic patients. Reprod Biomed Online 28(4): 492–502.

Sheikhi, A. *et al.* (2013) Elimination of apoptotic spermatozoa by magnetic-activated cell sorting improves the fertilization rate of couples treated with ICSI procedure. Andrology 1(6): 845–9.

Zahedi, A. *et al.* (2013) Zeta potential vs apoptotic marker: which is more suitable for ICSI sperm selection? J Assist Reprod Genet. 30(9): 1181–6.

Gil, M. *et al.* (2013) Sperm selection using magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS) in assisted reproduction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Assist Reprod Genet. 30(4): 479–85.

Herrero, MB. *et al.* (2012) Differential enrichment of sperm with no DNA strand breaks using magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS) in men with various categories of semen parameters. Human reproduction 27 (suppl. 2): ii121–ii150.

San Celestino, M. *et al.* (2011) Improved pregnancy rate after sperm magnetic separation technique in egg donation cycles using frozen sperm samples. Human Reproduction 26 (suppl. 1): i123–i148.

Khalid, S. N. *et al.* (2011) Pregnancy rate improves in couples with unexplained infertility following intrauterine insemination (IUI) with magnetically selected non-apoptotic sperms. Fertility and Sterility 96(3); S25.

Lee, T. H. *et al.* (2010) Magnetic-activated cell sorting for sperm preparation reduces spermatozoa with apoptotic markers and improves the acrosome reaction in couples with unexplained infertility. Hum Reprod. 25(4): 839–46.

Young Obejero, E. *et al.* (2010) Reproductive outcome using Annexin V columns for non-apoptotic sperm selection. Human Reproduction 25 (suppl 1): i6–i9.

Romany, L. *et al.* (2010) Magnetic activated sorting of non-apoptotic sperm result in improved embryo quality in ovum donation cycles with intracytoplasmic sperm injection. Human Reproduction 25 (suppl 1): i6–i9.

#### <2010

Grunewald, S. et al. (2009) Increased sperm chromatin decondensation in selected nonapoptotic spermatozoa of patients with male infertility. Fertil Steril. 92(2): 572–7.

Dirican, E. K. *et al.* (2008) Clinical outcome of magnetic activated cell sorting of non-apoptotic spermatozoa before density gradient centrifugation for assisted reproduction. J Assist Reprod Genet. 25(8): 375–81.

# **Experimental Data**

### 2013-2012

Delbes G, et al. (2013) The use of complimentary assays to evaluate the enrichment of human sperm quality in asthenoteratozoospermic and teratozoospermic samples processed with Annexin-V magnetic activated cell sorting Andrology. 1(5): 698–706.

Tavalaee, M. et al. (2012) Density gradient centrifugation before or after magnetic-activated cell sorting: which technique is more useful for clinical sperm selection? J Assist Reprod Genet. 29(1): 31–8.

#### <2010

De Vantéry Arrighi, C. *et al.* (2009) Removal of spermatozoa with externalized phosphatidylserine from sperm preparation in human assisted medical procreation: effects on viability, motility and mitochondrial membrane potential. Reproductive Biology Endocrinology 7: 1.

Aziz, N. *et al.* (2007) The relationship between human sperm apoptosis, morphology and the sperm deformity index. Human Reproduction 22: 1413–1419.

Grunewald, S. et al. (2006) Magnetic-activated cell sorting before cryopreservation preserves mitochondrial integrity in human spermatozoa. Cell and tissue Banking 7: 99–104.

Said, T. *et al.* (2006) Evaluation of sperm recovery following Annexin V magnetic-activated cell sorting separation. Reprod Biomed Online. 13(3): 336–9.

Grunewald, S. *et al.* (2006) Capacitation and acrosome reaction in nonapoptotic human spermatozoa. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1090: 138–146.

Paasch, U. et al. (2005) Immunomagnetic removal of cryodamaged human spermatozoa. Asian J Androl. 7(1): 61–9.

## **Case Studies**

#### 2015-2012

Lukaszuk, K. *et al.* (2015) First Pregnancy, Somatic and Psychological Status of a 4-Year-Old Child Born following Annexin V TESA Sperm Separation. AJP Rep. 5(2): e105–e108.

Herrero, MB. *et al.* (2013) Case report: the use of annexin V coupled with magnetic activated cell sorting in cryopreserved spermatozoa from a male cancer survivor: healthy twin newborns after two previous ICSI failures. J Assist Reprod Genet. 30(11): 1415–9.

Losada, C. *et al.* (2012) Can MACS as a sperm preparation technique improve clinic results in patients with Kartagener syndrome? Human reproduction 27 (suppl 2): ii162–ii205.

#### <2010

Polak de Fried, E. *et al.* (2010) Single and twin ongoing pregnancies in two cases of previous ART failure after ICSI performed with sperm sorted using Annexin V microbeads. Fertil Steril. 94(1): 351.e15–8.

Rawe, V. Y. *et al.* (2010) Healthy baby born after reduction of sperm DNA fragmentation using cell sorting before ICSI. Reprod Biomed Online. 20(3):320–3.

## Reviews and technical articles

Sharma, R. *et al.* (2015) Effect of sperm storage and selection techniques on sperm parameters. Syst Biol Reprod Med. 61(1): 1–12.

Said, T. *et al.* (2011) Effects of advanced selection methods on sperm quality and ART outcome: a systematic review. Hum Reprod Update. 17(6): 719–33.

Said, T. *et al.* (2008) Utility of magnetic cell separation as a molecular sperm preparation technique. Journal of Andrology 29: 134–142.

Grunewald, S. *et al.* (2013) Sperm selection for ICSI using Annexin V. Methods Mol Biol. 927: 257–62.



Miltenyi Biotec provides products and services worldwide. Visit **www.miltenyibiotec.com/local** to find your nearest Miltenyi Biotec contact.

Unless otherwise specifically indicated, Miltenyi Biotec products and services are for research use only and not for therapeutic or diagnostic use. The MACS ART Annexin V System is manufactured by Miltenyi Biotec GmbH and tested under an ISO 13485 certified quality system. These products are available in Europe as CE-marked medical devices. For availability in your country, please contact your local sales representative. MACS and the MACS Logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of Miltenyi Biotec GmbH and/or its affiliates in various countries worldwide. Copyright © 2018 Miltenyi Biotec GmbH and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.